

VOLUME 22/2014 No. 1

ISSN 1804-1388 (Print) ISSN 2336-1298 (Online)

COMMUNICATIONS IN MATHEMATICS

Editor-in-Chief

Olga Rossi, The University of Ostrava & La Trobe University, Melbourne

Division Editors

Ilka Agricola, Philipps-Universität Marburg
Attila Bérczes, University of Debrecen
Anthony Bloch, University of Michigan
George Bluman, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver
Karl Dilcher, Dalhousie University, Halifax
Stephen Glasby, University of Western Australia
Yong-Xin Guo, Eastern Liaoning University, Dandong
Haizhong Li, Tsinghua University, Beijing
Vilém Novák, The University of Ostrava
Geoff Prince, La Trobe University, Melbourne
Thomas Vetterlein, Johannes Kepler University Linz

Technical Editors

Jan Štěpnička, The University of Ostrava Jan Šustek, The University of Ostrava

Available online at http://cm.osu.cz



New hyper-Kähler structures on tangent bundles

Xuerong Qi, Linfen Cao, Xingxiao Li

Abstract. Let (M, g, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold, then the tangent bundle TM carries a class of naturally defined almost hyper-Hermitian structures (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) . In this paper we give conditions under which these almost hyper-Hermitian structures (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) are locally conformal hyper-Kähler. As an application, a family of new hyper-Kähler structures is obtained on the tangent bundle of a complex space form. Furthermore, by restricting these almost hyper-Hermitian structures on the unit tangent sphere bundle T_1M , we obtain a class of almost contact metric 3-structures. By virtue of these almost contact metric 3-structures, we find a family of Sasakian 3-structures on the unit tangent sphere bundle of a complex space form of positive holomorphic sectional curvature.

1 Introduction

A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M gives rise to several natural Riemannian metrics and almost complex structures on the tangent bundle TM and the cotangent bundle T^*M of M. Maybe the best known examples are the Sasaki metric g_s and the canonical almost complex structure J_s (see [11], [18]). The Sasaki metric g_s and the canonical almost complex structure J_s determine an almost Hermitian structure on TM. Although the natural almost Hermitian structure (g_s, J_s) is almost Kähler, it is very rigid in the following sense. For example, the Sasaki metric g_s has never constant scalar curvature unless the metric g on the base manifold M is flat (see [7], [10]). On the other hand, the canonical almost complex structure J_s is integrable if and only if the base manifold (M,g) is flat (see [6], [19]). The rigidity of the natural almost Hermitian structure (g_s, J_s) has incited many authors to tackle the problem of the construction and the study of other almost Hermitian structures on TM or T^*M ([1], [9], [12], [14], [17], [22]). Especially, Oproiu and Papaghiuc [15] has constructed a class of Kähler-Einstein structures on the cotangent bundle of a real space form. Recently, the authors [8]

²⁰¹⁰ MSC: 53C15, 53C26

Key words: tangent bundles, locally conformal hyper-Kähler structures, almost contact metric 3-structures, Sasakian 3-structures

have proved that the tangent bundle of any Riemannian manifold admits a class of locally conformal almost Kähler structures. By virtue of these structures, they have also shown that there exists a class of Sasakian structures on the unit tangent sphere bundle of a real space form of positive sectional curvature.

For an almost Hermitian manifold (M,g,J), almost hyper-Hermitian structures can be found on TM and T^*M ([3], [4], [20]). In particular, Calabi [4] constructed a hyper-Kähler structure on the cotangent bundle of a complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Tahara, Vanhecke and Watanabe [20] gave a class of almost hyper-Hermitian structures (G,J_1,J_2,J_3) on TM, which is determined by some parameters. Furthermore, suitably choosing these parameters, they obtained a family of hyper-Kähler structures on the tangent bundle of a complex space form of positive holomorphic sectional curvature. Oproiu [13] studied a family of almost hyper-Hermitian structures on the tangent bundle of a Kähler manifold, and obtained the necessary and sufficient conditions for these almost hyper-Hermitian structures to be hyper-Kähler structures.

In this paper, we study the geometry of these almost hyper-Hermitian structures (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) on the tangent bundle TM of an almost Hermitian manifold (M,g,J). The arrangement of this paper is as follows: section 2 are some necessary preliminaries and known results. In section 3, we give conditions under which these almost hyper-Hermitian structures (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) are locally conformal hyper-Kähler. As an application, a class of new hyper-Kähler structures is obtained on the tangent bundle of a complex space form. Our result is more general than one of [20]. It shows that there also exists a class of hyper-Kähler structures on the tangent bundle of a complex space form of non-positive holomorphic sectional curvature. In the end of this section, we present some concrete examples of hyper-Kähler structures on the tangent bundle of a complex space form. In section 4, using these almost hyper-Hermitian structures (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) , we obtain a class of almost contact metric 3-structures $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \widehat{G})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ on the unit tangent sphere bundle T_1M . By studying some properties of these almost contact metric 3-structures $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, G)_{\alpha=1,2,3}$, we find a family of Sasakian 3-structures on the unit tangent sphere bundle of a complex space form of positive holomorphic sectional curvature.

To simplify matters, we first make the following conventions on the ranges of indices used frequently in this paper:

$$1 \le i, j, k, \ldots \le n, \quad 1 \le \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots \le 3$$
.

2 Preliminaries

Let (M,g) be a smooth n-dimensional Riemannian manifold and denote its tangent bundle by $\pi:TM\to M$. The Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g defines a direct sum decomposition

$$TTM = HTM \oplus VTM \tag{1}$$

of the tangent bundle TTM into the vertical distribution $VTM = \ker \pi_*$ and the horizontal distribution HTM. Locally, if $(U; x^1, \ldots, x^n)$ is a local coordinate system on M, then $(\pi^{-1}(U); x^1, \ldots, x^n, y^1, \ldots, y^n)$ is a local coordinate system

on TM. The metric q can be locally expressed as

$$g = g_{ij}(x)dx^idx^j, \quad x \in U.$$

Denote by Γ_{ij}^k the Christoffel symbols of g and define

$$\Gamma_{i0}^k(x,y) = \Gamma_{ij}^k(x)y^j$$
, for $y = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(x)$, $x \in U$,

then

$$\left\{\delta_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma_{i0}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\right\}, \quad \left\{\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right\}$$
 (2)

define respectively a local frame field for HTM and a local frame field for VTM over $\pi^{-1}(U)$. Therefore $\{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n\}$ defines a local tangent frame field on TM, adapted to the direct sum decomposition (1). Put

$$\nabla y^i = dy^i + \Gamma^i_{0k} dx^k,$$

then $\{dx^1, \ldots, dx^n, \nabla y^1, \ldots, \nabla y^n\}$ is a local cotangent frame field on TM dual to the local frame field $\{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n\}$.

If we denote respectively by X^H and X^V the horizontal and the vertical lift to TM of a tangent vector field X on M, then

$$\delta_i = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^H, \quad \partial_i = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^V.$$
 (3)

For any $x \in U$ and $y = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(x) \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, or in other words, for $(x, y) \in \pi^{-1}(U)$, put

$$t = \frac{1}{2}g_{\pi(y)}(y,y) = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(x)y^iy^j,$$

then it easily follows that

$$\delta_i t = 0 \,, \quad \partial_i t = g_{ii} y^j := g_{0i} \,. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore, we define

$$R_{0ij}^{l}(x,y) = y^{k} R_{kij}^{l}(x), \quad \text{for } (x,y) \in \pi^{-1}(U),$$

then the Lie brackets of the vector fields ∂_i , δ_i are given by

$$[\partial_i, \partial_j] = 0, \qquad [\delta_i, \partial_j] = \Gamma^l_{ij} \partial_l, \qquad [\delta_i, \delta_j] = -R^l_{0ij} \partial_l.$$
 (5)

The Sasaki metric g_s is uniquely determined by the following equations:

$$g_s(X^H, Y^H) = g_s(X^V, Y^V) = g(X, Y) \circ \pi,$$

$$g_s(X^H, Y^V) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M),$$

where $\mathscr{X}(M)$ denotes the Lie algebra of smooth tangent vector fields on M. The canonical almost complex structure J_s on TM is given by

$$J_s X^H = X^V, \quad J_s X^V = -X^H, \quad \forall X \in \mathscr{X}(M).$$

It is known that (TM, g_s, J_s) is an almost Kähler manifold. Moreover, the integrability of the almost complex structure J_s implies that (M, g) is locally Euclidean (see [6], [19]).

The Cheeger-Gromoll metric g_{CG} [5] is uniquely determined by

$$\begin{cases} g_{CG}(X^H, Y^H) = g(X, Y) \circ \pi, \\ g_{CG}(X^V, Y^V) = \frac{1}{1 + 2t} \left[g(X, Y) + g(X, y) g(Y, y) \right], \\ g_{CG}(X^H, Y^V) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and $y \in TM$. Accordingly, one can define an almost complex structure J_{CG} on TM by the following equations:

$$J_{CG}X^{H} = \tau X^{V} - \frac{1}{1+\tau}g(X,y)y^{V},$$

$$J_{CG}X^{V} = -\frac{1}{\tau}X^{H} - \frac{1}{\tau(1+\tau)}g(X,y)y^{H},$$

where $\tau = \sqrt{1+2t}$. Then (TM, g_{CG}, J_{CG}) is an almost Hermitian manifold (see [9]).

As a generalization of the above two metrics, one can define a class of metrics G on the tangent bundle TM of an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) by the following equations (see [20]):

$$\begin{cases} G(X^H, Y^H) = c_1 g(X, Y) + d_1 g(X, y) g(Y, y) + f_1 g(X, Jy) g(Y, Jy), \\ G(X^V, Y^V) = c_2 g(X, Y) + d_2 g(X, y) g(Y, y) + f_2 g(X, Jy) g(Y, Jy), \\ G(X^H, Y^V) = 0. \end{cases}$$

where $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2, f_1, f_2$ are smooth functions of $t \in [0, \infty)$, and satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{split} c_1 > 0 \,, \quad c_2 > 0 \,, \quad c_1 + 2td_1 > 0 \,, \\ c_2 + 2td_2 > 0 \,, \quad c_1 + 2tf_1 > 0 \,, \quad c_2 + 2tf_2 > 0 \,, \qquad \forall t \,. \end{split}$$

Accordingly, one can also define three kinds of almost complex structures J_1, J_2, J_3 on TM by the following equations (see [20]):

$$\begin{cases} J_1 X^H = a_1 X^V + b_1 g(X, y) y^V + e_1 g(X, Jy) (Jy)^V, \\ J_1 X^V = -a_2 X^H - b_2 g(X, y) y^H - e_2 g(X, Jy) (Jy)^H, \\ J_2 X^H = a_1 (JX)^V + b_1 g(JX, y) y^V + e_1 g(X, y) (Jy)^V, \\ J_2 X^V = a_2 (JX)^H + e_2 g(JX, y) y^H + b_2 g(X, y) (Jy)^H, \\ \begin{cases} J_3 X^H = -(JX)^H, \\ J_3 X^V = (JX)^V + p g(JX, y) y^V + q g(X, y) (Jy)^V, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where $p = a_2b_1 + a_1e_2 + 2tb_1e_2$, $q = a_2e_1 + a_1b_2 + 2tb_2e_1$, a_1 , a_2 , b_1 , b_2 , e_1 , e_2 are smooth functions of t satisfying the following conditions:

$$a_1a_2 = 1$$
, $(a_1 + 2tb_1)(a_2 + 2tb_2) = 1$, $(a_1 + 2te_1)(a_2 + 2te_2) = 1$, (6)

or

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{a_1}, \quad b_2 = -\frac{b_1}{a_1(a_1 + 2tb_1)}, \quad e_2 = -\frac{e_1}{a_1(a_1 + 2te_1)}.$$
 (7)

A direct computation shows that $J_3 = J_1 \circ J_2 = -J_2 \circ J_1$, so (J_1, J_2, J_3) is an almost hyper-complex structure on TM. Moreover, (7) shows that the almost hyper-complex structure (J_1, J_2, J_3) depends on three essential parameters a_1, b_1, e_1 .

Remark 1. From (6) we know that the coefficients a_1 , a_2 , $a_1 + 2tb_1$, $a_2 + 2tb_2$, $a_1 + 2te_1$, $a_2 + 2te_2$ cannot vanish and have the same sign. In this paper, we assume that

$$a_1 > 0$$
, $a_2 > 0$, $a_1 + 2tb_1 > 0$,
 $a_2 + 2tb_2 > 0$, $a_1 + 2te_1 > 0$, $a_2 + 2te_2 > 0$, $\forall t$.

For the metric G and almost hyper-complex structure (J_1, J_2, J_3) , we have the following results. These results follow from corresponding statements in [20].

Proposition 1. The almost complex structures J_1, J_2, J_3 are compatible with the metric G if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_1}{a_1} &= \frac{c_2}{a_2} = \lambda \,, & \frac{c_1 + 2td_1}{a_1 + 2tb_1} &= \frac{c_2 + 2td_2}{a_2 + 2tb_2} &= \lambda + 2t\mu \,, \\ d_1 &= f_1 \,, & \frac{c_1 + 2tf_1}{a_1 + 2te_1} &= \frac{c_2 + 2tf_2}{a_2 + 2te_2} &= \lambda + 2t\nu \,, \end{aligned}$$

where the proportionality coefficients $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda + 2t\mu > 0$ and $\lambda + 2t\nu > 0$ for all t.

Remark 2. Proposition 1 shows that the almost hyper-Hermitian structure (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) depends on five essential parameters $a_1, b_1, e_1, \lambda, \mu$ or $a_1, b_1, e_1, \lambda, \nu$. Other parameters are determined by

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{a_1}, \quad b_2 = -\frac{b_1}{a_1(a_1 + 2tb_1)}, \quad e_2 = -\frac{e_1}{a_1(a_1 + 2te_1)},$$
 (8)

$$c_1 = \lambda a_1, \quad d_1 = f_1 = \lambda b_1 + \mu(a_1 + 2tb_1) = \lambda e_1 + \nu(a_1 + 2te_1),$$
 (9)

$$c_2 = \lambda a_2$$
, $d_2 = \lambda b_2 + \mu(a_2 + 2tb_2)$, $f_2 = \lambda e_2 + \nu(a_2 + 2te_2)$. (10)

Proposition 2. Let (M, g, J) be a complex space form of holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. If the functions b_1, e_1 are given by

$$b_1 = \frac{a_1 a_1' - c}{a_1 - 2t a_1'}, \quad e_1 = \frac{c}{a_1}, \tag{11}$$

then the almost hyper-complex structures (J_1, J_2, J_3) is a hyper-complex structure on TM.

Remark 3. If we choose

$$a_1 = a_2 = c_1 = c_2 = 1$$
, $b_1 = b_2 = e_1 = e_2 = d_1 = d_2 = f_1 = f_2 = 0$,

then (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) is the canonical almost hyper-Hermitian structure on the tangent bundle TM. In this case, $G = g_s$, $J_1 = J_s$. Therefore, the canonical almost hyper-Hermitian structure (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) is a hyper-Hermitian structure if and only if the base manifold (M, g) if flat.

3 The tangent bundle TM

In the sequel (M, g, J) will be a connected almost Hermitian manifold. We study the geometry of the almost hyper-Hermitian manifold $(TM, G, J_{\alpha})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ and find conditions under which the considered almost hyper-Hermitian structure is locally conformal hyper-Kähler or hyper-Kähler. Now we first introduce the definitions of locally conformal Kähler manifold and locally conformal hyper-Kähler manifold (see also [16], [21]).

Definition 1. The almost Hermitian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{J})$ is called a locally conformal almost Kähler manifold if there exists a closed 1-form $\widetilde{\omega}$, called the *Lee form*, satisfying $d\widetilde{\Omega} = \widetilde{\omega} \wedge \widetilde{\Omega}$, where $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is the fundamental 2-form. Moreover, the locally conformal almost Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{J})$ is called a locally conformal Kähler manifold if \widetilde{J} is integrable.

Definition 2. The hyper-Hermitian manifold $(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{g},\widetilde{J}_{\alpha})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ is called a locally conformal hyper-Kähler manifold if there exists a closed 1-form $\widetilde{\omega}$, such that, for each α , $d\widetilde{\Omega}_{\alpha}=\widetilde{\omega}\wedge\widetilde{\Omega}_{\alpha}$, where $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\alpha}$ is the fundamental 2-form of $(\widetilde{g},\widetilde{J}_{\alpha})$.

Denote by Ω_{α} the fundamental 2-form of (G, J_{α}) , given by $\Omega_{\alpha}(\cdot, \cdot) = G(\cdot, J_{\alpha}\cdot)$, then under the adapted frame field $\{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n\}$ defined by (2) or (3), we have

$$\Omega_1 = (\lambda g_{ij} + \mu g_{i0}g_{j0} + \nu g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j\bar{0}})\nabla y^i \wedge dx^j, \tag{12}$$

$$\Omega_2 = (\lambda g_{i\bar{j}} + \mu g_{i0} g_{\bar{j}0} + \nu g_{i\bar{0}} g_{j0}) \nabla y^i \wedge dx^j, \tag{13}$$

$$\Omega_{3} = \{c_{2}g_{i\bar{j}} + [\lambda e_{2} + \mu(a_{2} + 2te_{2})]g_{i0}g_{\bar{j}0}
+ [\lambda b_{2} + \nu(a_{2} + 2tb_{2})]g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j0}\}\nabla y^{i} \wedge \nabla y^{j}
- (c_{1}g_{i\bar{j}} + d_{1}g_{i0}g_{\bar{j}0} + f_{1}g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j0})dx^{i} \wedge dx^{j},$$
(14)

where $g_{i\bar{j}} = g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, J\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}), g_{i\bar{0}} = g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, Jy).$ By using (4) and the property that $\nabla g = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} d\lambda &= \lambda' g_{i0} \nabla y^i, \qquad d\mu = \mu' g_{i0} \nabla y^i, \qquad d\nu = \nu' g_{i0} \nabla y^i, \\ dg_{i0} &= g_{ik} \nabla y^k + \Gamma^l_{ik} g_{l0} dx^k, \qquad dg_{\bar{i}0} = -dg_{i\bar{0}} = g_{\bar{i}k} \nabla y^k + \Gamma^l_{\bar{i}k} g_{l0} dx^k, \\ d\nabla y^i &= \Gamma^i_{lk} \nabla y^l \wedge dx^k + \frac{1}{2} R^i_{0kl} dx^k \wedge dx^l, \end{split}$$

where $\Gamma_{ik}^l g_{l0} = g(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} J_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}, y).$

Now we consider the first class of almost Hermitian structures (G, J_1) . By the local expression (12) of Ω_1 , we have

$$\begin{split} d\Omega_1 &= d(\lambda g_{ij} + \mu g_{i0}g_{j0} + \nu g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j\bar{0}}) \wedge \nabla y^i \wedge dx^j \\ &\quad + (\lambda g_{ij} + \mu g_{i0}g_{j0} + \nu g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j\bar{0}}) d\nabla y^i \wedge dx^j \\ &= \left\{ \lambda' g_{ij}g_{0k} \nabla y^k + \lambda (\Gamma^l_{ik}g_{lj} + \Gamma^l_{jk}g_{li}) dx^k + \mu' g_{0i}g_{0j}g_{0k} \nabla y^k \right. \\ &\quad + \mu g_{0j}(g_{ik} \nabla y^k + \Gamma^l_{ik}g_{0l}dx^k) + \mu g_{0i}(g_{jk} \nabla y^k + \Gamma^l_{jk}g_{0l}dx^k) + \nu' g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j\bar{0}}g_{0k} \nabla y^k \\ &\quad + \nu g_{j\bar{0}}(g_{i\bar{k}} \nabla y^k - \Gamma^l_{\bar{i}k}g_{l0}dx^k) + \nu g_{i\bar{0}}(g_{j\bar{k}} \nabla y^k - \Gamma^l_{\bar{j}k}g_{l0}dx^k) \right\} \wedge \nabla y^i \wedge dx^j \\ &\quad + (\lambda g_{ij} + \mu g_{0i}g_{0j} + \nu g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j\bar{0}}) \left(\Gamma^i_{lk} \nabla y^l \wedge dx^k + \frac{1}{2} R^i_{0kl}dx^k \wedge dx^l \right) \wedge dx^j. \end{split}$$

Clearly, if (TM, G, J_1) is a locally conformal almost Kähler manifold, then

$$\frac{1}{2}(\lambda g_{ij} + \mu g_{0i}g_{0j} + \nu g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j\bar{0}})R^{i}_{0kl}dx^{k} \wedge dx^{l} \wedge dx^{j} = 0.$$
 (15)

Using the first Bianchi identity, (15) is equivalent to

$$\nu g_{i\bar{0}} R_{0\bar{0}kl} dx^k \wedge dx^l \wedge dx^j = 0,$$

where $R_{0\bar{0}kl} = R(y, Jy, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l})$. Let $\nu = 0$, then we find that

$$\Omega_1 = (\lambda g_{ij} + \mu g_{i0} g_{j0}) \nabla y^i \wedge dx^j, \tag{16}$$

$$d\Omega_1 = (\lambda' - \mu)g_{ij}g_{0k}\nabla y^k \wedge \nabla y^i \wedge dx^j.$$
(17)

Therefore, if $\nu = 0$ and $\mu = \lambda'$, then (TM, G, J_1) is almost Kähler.

Proposition 3. If $\nu = 0$, then the almost Hermitian manifold (TM, G, J_1) is locally conformal almost Kähler.

Proof. Set

$$\omega = \frac{\lambda' - \mu}{\lambda} g_{0k} \nabla y^k. \tag{18}$$

Then ω is a globally defined 1-form. Moreover

$$d\omega = \left(\frac{\lambda' - \mu}{\lambda} g_{0i}\right) d\nabla y^{i} + \left(\frac{\lambda' - \mu}{\lambda}\right)' g_{0i} g_{0k} \nabla y^{i} \wedge \nabla y^{k}$$
$$+ \frac{\lambda' - \mu}{\lambda} \left(g_{ik} \nabla y^{k} + \Gamma^{l}_{ik} g_{0l} dx^{k}\right) \wedge \nabla y^{i}$$
$$= \frac{\lambda' - \mu}{\lambda} g_{0i} \left(\Gamma^{i}_{lk} \nabla y^{l} \wedge dx^{k} + \frac{1}{2} R^{i}_{0kl} dx^{k} \wedge dx^{l}\right)$$
$$+ \frac{\lambda' - \mu}{\lambda} \Gamma^{l}_{ik} g_{0l} dx^{k} \wedge \nabla y^{i} = 0.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (17) that

$$d\Omega_{1} = (\lambda' - \mu)g_{ij}g_{0k}\nabla y^{k} \wedge \nabla y^{i} \wedge dx^{j}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\lambda' - \mu}{\lambda}g_{0k}\nabla y^{k}\right) \wedge (\lambda g_{ij} + \mu g_{0i}g_{0j})\nabla y^{i} \wedge dx^{j}$$

$$- \left(\frac{\mu(\lambda' - \mu)}{\lambda}g_{0k}g_{0i}g_{0j}\right)\nabla y^{k} \wedge \nabla y^{i} \wedge dx^{j}.$$
(19)

The second term in (19) vanishes since $g_{0i}g_{0k}$ is symmetric with respect to the indices i, k. Hence

$$d\Omega_1 = \omega \wedge \Omega_1. \tag{20}$$

The closeness of ω and (20) show that (TM, G, J_1) is a locally conformal almost Kähler manifold.

The following corollary comes directly from Proposition 2 and Proposition 3.

Corollary 1. Let (M, g, J) be a complex space form of holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. If the functions b_1, e_1 are given by (11) and $\nu = 0$, then the almost Hermitian manifold (TM, G, J_1) is a locally conformal Kähler manifold. Moreover, the locally conformal Kähler manifold (TM, G, J_1) is a Kähler manifold if $\mu = \lambda'$.

Next we consider the second class of almost Hermitian structures (G, J_2) . By the local expression (13) of Ω_2 , we have

$$\begin{split} d\Omega_2 &= d(\lambda g_{i\bar{j}} + \mu g_{i0}g_{\bar{j}0} + \nu_{i\bar{0}}g_{j0}) \wedge \nabla y^i \wedge dx^j \\ &+ (\lambda g_{i\bar{j}} + \mu g_{i0}g_{\bar{j}0} + \nu_{i\bar{0}}g_{j0})d\nabla y^i \wedge dx^j \\ &= \left\{\lambda' g_{i\bar{j}}g_{0k}\nabla y^k + \lambda (\Gamma^l_{ik}g_{l\bar{j}} + \Gamma^l_{\bar{j}k}g_{li})dx^k + \mu' g_{0i}g_{\bar{j}0}g_{0k}\nabla y^k \right. \\ &+ \mu g_{0\bar{j}}(g_{ik}\nabla y^k + \Gamma^l_{ik}g_{0l}dx^k) + \mu g_{0i}(g_{\bar{j}k}\nabla y^k + \Gamma^l_{\bar{j}k}g_{0l}dx^k) + \nu' g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j0}g_{0k}\nabla y^k \\ &+ \nu g_{j0}(g_{i\bar{k}}\nabla y^k - \Gamma^l_{\bar{i}k}g_{l0}dx^k) + \nu g_{i\bar{0}}(g_{jk}\nabla y^k + \Gamma^l_{jk}g_{l0}dx^k) \right\} \wedge \nabla y^i \wedge dx^j \\ &+ (\lambda g_{i\bar{j}} + \mu g_{0i}g_{\bar{j}0} + \nu g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j0}) \left(\Gamma^l_{lk}\nabla y^l \wedge dx^k + \frac{1}{2}R^l_{0kl}dx^k \wedge dx^l\right) \wedge dx^j. \end{split}$$

Clearly, if (TM, G, J_2) is a locally conformal almost Kähler manifold, then

$$\frac{1}{2}(\lambda g_{i\bar{j}} + \mu g_{0i}g_{\bar{j}0} + \nu g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j0})R^{i}_{0kl}dx^{k} \wedge dx^{l} \wedge dx^{j} = 0.$$
 (21)

In the case that (M, g, J) is a Kähler manifold, using the first Bianchi identity, (21) is equivalent to

$$\nu g_{j0} R_{0\bar{0}kl} dx^k \wedge dx^l \wedge dx^j = 0.$$

Let $\nu = 0$, then we obtain that

$$\Omega_2 = (\lambda g_{i\bar{j}} + \mu g_{i0} g_{\bar{j}0}) \nabla y^i \wedge dx^j, \tag{22}$$

$$d\Omega_2 = (\lambda' - \mu)g_{i\bar{i}}g_{0k}\nabla y^k \wedge \nabla y^i \wedge dx^j. \tag{23}$$

Proposition 4. Let (M, g, J) be a Kähler manifold. If $\nu = 0$, then the almost Hermitian manifold (TM, G, J_2) is locally conformal almost Kähler.

Proof. From (18), (22) and (23), it follows that

$$d\Omega_{2} = (\lambda' - \mu)g_{i\bar{j}}g_{0k}\nabla y^{k} \wedge \nabla y^{i} \wedge dx^{j}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\lambda' - \mu}{\lambda}g_{0k}\nabla y^{k}\right) \wedge (\lambda g_{i\bar{j}} + \mu g_{0i}g_{0\bar{j}})\nabla y^{i} \wedge dx^{j}$$

$$= \omega \wedge \Omega_{2}.$$
(24)

The closeness of ω and (24) show that (TM, G, J_2) is a locally conformal almost Kähler manifold.

The following corollary comes directly from Proposition 2 and Proposition 4:

Corollary 2. Let (M, g, J) be a complex space form of holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. If the functions b_1, e_1 are given by (11) and $\nu = 0$, then the almost Hermitian manifold (TM, G, J_2) is a locally conformal Kähler manifold. Moreover, the locally conformal Kähler manifold (TM, G, J_2) is a Kähler manifold if $\mu = \lambda'$.

For the third class of almost Hermitian structures (G, J_3) , we have

$$\begin{split} d\Omega_{3} &= \left(-c'_{1}g_{i\bar{j}}g_{0k} - d'_{1}g_{0i}g_{0\bar{j}}g_{0k} - d'_{1}g_{\bar{0}i}g_{0j}g_{0k} - d_{1}g_{0\bar{j}}g_{ik} - d_{1}g_{\bar{0}i}g_{jk} \right. \\ &- d_{1}g_{0i}g_{\bar{j}k} - d_{1}g_{0j}g_{i\bar{k}} + c_{2}R_{0\bar{k}ij} + \frac{\sigma}{2}g_{0k}R_{0\bar{0}ij} \right) \nabla y^{k} \wedge dx^{i} \wedge dx^{j} \\ &+ \left(-c_{1}\Gamma^{l}_{\bar{j}k}g_{li} - 2d_{1}g_{0i}\Gamma^{l}_{\bar{j}k}g_{l0} \right) dx^{k} \wedge dx^{i} \wedge dx^{j} \\ &+ \left(c'_{2}g_{i\bar{j}}g_{0k} + \sigma g_{0i}g_{\bar{j}k} \right) \nabla y^{k} \wedge \nabla y^{i} \wedge \nabla y^{j} \\ &+ \left(c_{2}\Gamma^{l}_{\bar{i}k}g_{li} - c_{2}\Gamma^{l}_{ik}g_{\bar{l}i} + \sigma g_{0i}\Gamma^{l}_{\bar{i}k}g_{l0} - \sigma g_{0i}\Gamma^{l}_{ik}g_{\bar{l}0} \right) dx^{k} \wedge \nabla y^{i} \wedge \nabla y^{j}, \end{split}$$

where $\sigma = \lambda(b_2 + e_2) + \mu(a_2 + 2te_2) + \nu(a_2 + 2tb_2)$.

In the case that (M, g, J) is a complex space form of holomorphic sectional curvature 4c, we find

$$\begin{split} R_{0\bar{k}ij} &= -c(g_{0i}g_{\bar{k}j} - g_{0j}g_{\bar{k}i} + g_{0\bar{i}}g_{kj} - g_{0\bar{j}}g_{ki} - 2g_{0k}g_{i\bar{j}})\,, \\ R_{0\bar{0}ij} &= -2c(g_{0i}g_{\bar{0}j} + g_{0\bar{i}}g_{0j} - 2tg_{i\bar{j}})\,. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} c_2 R_{0\bar{k}ij} + \frac{\sigma}{2} g_{0k} R_{0\bar{0}ij} &= -c\sigma g_{0k} (g_{0i} g_{\bar{0}j} + g_{0\bar{i}} g_{0j} - 2t g_{i\bar{j}}) \\ &\quad - cc_2 (g_{0i} g_{\bar{k}j} - g_{0j} g_{\bar{k}i} + g_{0\bar{i}} g_{kj} - g_{0\bar{j}} g_{ki} - 2g_{0k} g_{i\bar{j}}) \,. \end{split}$$

Consequently,

$$d\Omega_{3} = (c'_{2} - \sigma)g_{i\bar{j}}g_{0k}\nabla y^{k} \wedge \nabla y^{i} \wedge \nabla y^{j} + \left\{-c'_{1}g_{i\bar{j}}g_{0k} - d'_{1}g_{0i}g_{0\bar{j}}g_{0k} - d'_{1}g_{0i}g_{0\bar{j}}g_{0k} - d'_{1}g_{0i}g_{0j}g_{0k} - (d_{1} - cc_{2})(g_{0\bar{j}}g_{ik} + g_{0i}g_{jk} + g_{0i}g_{jk} + g_{0j}g_{i\bar{k}}) + 2cc_{2}g_{0k}g_{i\bar{j}} - c\sigma g_{0k}(g_{0i}g_{0j} + g_{0\bar{i}}g_{0j} - 2tg_{i\bar{j}})\right\}\nabla y^{k} \wedge dx^{i} \wedge dx^{j}.$$
(25)

Theorem 1. Let (M, g, J) be a complex space form of holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. If the functions b_1, e_1, ν are given by

$$b_1 = \frac{a_1 a_1' - c}{a_1 - 2t a_1'}, \qquad e_1 = \frac{c}{a_1}, \qquad \nu = 0,$$
(26)

then the almost Hermitian structure (G, J_3) is locally conformal Kähler. In this case, the almost hyper-Hermitian structure (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) is locally conformal hyper-Kähler.

Proof. Set $\varrho = \frac{\lambda' - \mu}{\lambda}$. Then $\omega = \varrho g_{0k} \nabla y^k$ is a globally defined 1-form and $d\omega = 0$. From (14), it follows easily that

$$\omega \wedge \Omega_{3} = \left\{ \varrho c_{2} g_{i\bar{j}} + \varrho [\lambda e_{2} + (a_{2} + 2te_{2})\mu] g_{i0} g_{\bar{j}0} \right.$$
$$\left. + \varrho [\lambda b_{2} + (a_{2} + 2tb_{2})\nu] g_{i\bar{0}} g_{j\bar{0}} \right\} g_{0k} \nabla y^{k} \wedge \nabla y^{i} \wedge \nabla y^{j}$$
$$\left. - \varrho (c_{1} g_{i\bar{j}} + d_{1} g_{i0} g_{\bar{j}0} + f_{1} g_{i\bar{0}} g_{j\bar{0}}) g_{0k} \nabla y^{k} \wedge dx^{i} \wedge dx^{j} \right.$$

Thus $d\Omega_3 = \omega \wedge \Omega_3$ if and only if

$$\left\{ (2cc_2 + 2tc\sigma - c_1' + \varrho c_1)g_{i\bar{j}}g_{0k} - (d_1' - c\sigma - \varrho d_1)(g_{0i}g_{0\bar{j}} + g_{\bar{0}i}g_{0j})g_{0k} - (d_1 - cc_2)(g_{0\bar{j}}g_{ik} + g_{\bar{0}i}g_{jk} + g_{0i}g_{\bar{j}k} + g_{0j}g_{i\bar{k}}) \right\} \nabla y^k \wedge dx^i \wedge dx^j + (c_2' - \sigma - \varrho c_2)g_{i\bar{j}}g_{0k} \nabla y^k \wedge \nabla y^i \wedge \nabla y^j = 0.$$
(27)

By (26) and (8), one finds

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda[2ca_1 - a_1'(a_1^2 + 2ct)]}{a_1(a_1^2 - 2ct)}, \qquad \sigma = \frac{2\lambda(c - a_1a_1')}{a_1(a_1^2 - 2ct)},$$

$$d_1 = cc_2$$
, $\varrho c_2 = c'_2 - \sigma$, $\varrho d_1 = d'_1 - c\sigma$, $\varrho c_1 = c'_1 - 2tc\sigma - 2cc_2$.

Thus, (27) holds, namely,

$$d\Omega_3 = \omega \wedge \Omega_3$$
.

Moreover, from (26) and Proposition 2, it follows that J_1, J_2, J_3 are integrable. Therefore (TM, G, J_3) is a locally conformal Kähler manifold. By Proposition 3 and Proposition 4, we directly obtain that (TM, G, J_1, J_2, J_3) is a locally conformal hyper-Kähler manifold.

Remark 4. The parameters a_1, λ are not quite arbitrary. In fact, the following conditions must be fulfilled

$$a_1 > 0$$
, $a_1 + 2tb_1 = \frac{a_1^2 - 2ct}{a_1 - 2ta_1'} > 0$, $a_1 + 2te_1 = \frac{a_1^2 + 2ct}{a_1} > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda + 2t\mu = \lambda \frac{(a_1 - 2ta_1')(a_1^2 + 2ct)}{a_1(a_1^2 - 2ct)} > 0$.

Corollary 3. Let (M, g, J) be a complex space form of holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. If the functions b_1, e_1, λ, ν are given by

$$b_1 = \frac{a_1 a_1' - c}{a_1 - 2t a_1'}, \quad e_1 = \frac{c}{a_1}, \quad \lambda = e^{\int \frac{2ca_1 - a_1'(a_1^2 + 2ct)}{a_1(a_1^2 - 2ct)}dt}, \quad \nu = 0,$$
 (28)

then the almost hyper-Hermitian structure (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) is hyper-Kähler.

Proof. Under the assumption of (28), (TM, G, J_1, J_2, J_3) is a locally conformal hyper-Kähler manifold, and the Lee form $\omega = 0$. This shows that

$$d\Omega_{\alpha} = \omega \wedge \Omega_{\alpha} = 0$$
,

for each α . Therefore, the almost hyper-Hermitian structure (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) on TM is hyper-Kähler.

Example 1. Let (M, g, J) be a complex space form of holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. If $c \ge 0$, we can consider the following functions

$$\begin{split} a_1 &= \sqrt{e^{-2t} + 2ct} \,, \qquad b_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{e^{-2t} + 2ct}}{1 + 2t} \,, \\ e_1 &= \frac{c}{\sqrt{e^{-2t} + 2ct}} \,, \qquad \nu = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

Clearly, all the conditions of Remark 4 and Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Therefore, we obtain a family of locally conformal hyper-Kähler structures (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) on TM. In particular, putting

$$\lambda = e^{\int \frac{e^{-2t} + 4ct + c}{e^{-2t} + 2ct} dt},\tag{29}$$

we can obtain a family of hyper-Kähler structures (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) on TM. If c < 0, we can consider the following functions

$$a_1 = \sqrt{e^{-2t} - 2ct}$$
, $b_1 = -\frac{(2c + e^{-2t})\sqrt{e^{-2t} - 2ct}}{(1 + 2t)e^{-2t}}$,
 $e_1 = \frac{c}{\sqrt{e^{-2t} - 2ct}}$, $\nu = 0$.

Clearly, all the conditions of Remark 4 and Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Therefore, we obtain a family of locally conformal hyper-Kähler structures (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) on TM. In particular, putting

$$\lambda = e^{\int \frac{e^{-4t} + 3ce^{-2t} - 4c^2t}{(e^{-2t} - 2ct)(e^{-2t} - 4ct)} dt},\tag{30}$$

we can obtain a family of hyper-Kähler structures (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) on TM.

Example 2. Let (M, g, J) be a complex space form of holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. If $c \ge 0$, we can consider the following functions

$$a_1 = A + \sqrt{A^2 + 2ct}$$
, $b_1 = e_1 = \frac{c}{A + \sqrt{A^2 + 2ct}}$, $\lambda = B$, $\mu = \nu = 0$,

where A, B are two positive constants. If c < 0, we can consider the following functions

$$\begin{split} a_1 &= A + \sqrt{A^2 - 2ct} \,, \quad b_1 = \frac{-c(A + 2\sqrt{A^2 - 2ct})}{A(A + \sqrt{A^2 - 2ct})} \,, \quad e_1 = \frac{c}{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 2ct}} \,, \\ \lambda &= \frac{B}{\sqrt{A^2 - 2ct}} \,, \qquad \mu = \nu = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

Clearly, all the conditions of Remark 4 and Corollary 3 are fulfilled. Therefore, we obtain a family of hyper-Kähler structures (G, J_1, J_2, J_3) on TM.

In the end of the section, we compute the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ of (TM, G). The explicit expression of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is obtained from the following well-known formula

$$2G(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}}\widetilde{Y},\widetilde{Z}) = \widetilde{X}(G(\widetilde{Y},\widetilde{Z})) + \widetilde{Y}(G(\widetilde{X},\widetilde{Z})) - \widetilde{Z}(G(\widetilde{X},\widetilde{Y})) + G([\widetilde{X},\widetilde{Y}],\widetilde{Z}) + G([\widetilde{Z},\widetilde{X}],\widetilde{Y}) + G(\widetilde{X},[\widetilde{Z},\widetilde{Y}]),$$
(31)

where $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z} \in \mathscr{X}(TM)$. A direct computation using (5) and (31) gives the following proposition.

Proposition 5. Let (M, g, J) be a Kähler manifold, then the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ of (TM, G) is given by the following identities:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial_i}\partial_j &= Q_{ij}^l\partial_l\,, & \widetilde{\nabla}_{\delta_i}\partial_j &= \Gamma_{ij}^l\partial_l + P_{ji}^l\delta_l\,, \\ \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial_i}\delta_j &= P_{ij}^l\delta_l\,, & \widetilde{\nabla}_{\delta_i}\delta_j &= \Gamma_{ij}^l\delta_l + S_{ij}^l\partial_l\,, \end{split}$$

where Q_{ij}^l , P_{ij}^l , S_{ij}^l are defined by

$$\begin{split} Q_{ij}^l &= \frac{2d_2 - c_2'}{2(c_2 + 2td_2)} g_{ij} y^l + \frac{c_2'}{2c_2} (g_{0i} \delta_j^l + g_{0j} \delta_i^l) + \frac{c_2 d_2' - 2c_2' d_2}{2c_2(c_2 + 2td_2)} g_{0i} g_{0j} y^l \\ &+ \frac{c_2 f_2' - c_2' f_2 - 2f_2^2}{2c_2(c_2 + 2tf_2)} (g_{0i} g_{\bar{0}j} + g_{0j} g_{\bar{0}i}) y^{\bar{l}} + \frac{2d_2 f_2 - c_2 f_2'}{2c_2(c_2 + 2td_2)} g_{\bar{0}i} g_{\bar{0}j} y^l \\ &+ \frac{f_2}{c_2} (J_i^l g_{\bar{0}j} + J_j^l g_{\bar{0}i}) \,, \qquad Jy = y^k J_k^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} := y^{\bar{l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} \,, \\ P_{ij}^l &= \frac{c_1'}{2c_1} g_{0i} \delta_j^l + \frac{d_1}{2c_1} g_{0j} \delta_i^l + \frac{d_1}{2(c_1 + 2td_1)} g_{ij} y^l + \frac{c_1 d_1' - c_1' d_1 - d_1^2}{2c_1(c_1 + 2td_1)} g_{0i} g_{0j} y^l \\ &+ \frac{c_2}{2c_1} R_{j0i}^l + \frac{c_2 d_1}{2c_1(c_1 + 2td_1)} R_{0i0j} y^l + \frac{c_1 f_1' - c_1' f_1 - f_1^2}{2c_1(c_1 + 2tf_1)} g_{0i} g_{\bar{0}j} y^{\bar{l}} \\ &+ \frac{d_1 f_1}{2c_1(c_1 + 2td_1)} g_{\bar{0}i} g_{\bar{0}j} y^l + \frac{f_1}{2(c_1 + 2tf_1)} g_{\bar{i}j} y^{\bar{l}} - \frac{d_1 f_1}{2c_1(c_1 + 2tf_1)} g_{\bar{0}i} g_{0j} y^{\bar{l}} \\ &+ \frac{f_1}{2c_1} g_{\bar{0}j} J_i^l + \frac{f_2}{2c_1} R_{j0\bar{0}}^l g_{\bar{0}i} + \frac{d_1 f_2}{2c_1(c_1 + 2td_1)} R_{0\bar{0}0j} g_{\bar{0}i} y^l \\ &+ \frac{c_2 f_1}{2c_1(c_1 + 2tf_1)} R_{0i\bar{0}j} y^{\bar{l}} + \frac{f_1 f_2}{2c_1(c_1 + 2tf_1)} R_{0\bar{0}\bar{0}j} g_{\bar{0}i} y^{\bar{l}} \,, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} S^l_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2} R^l_{0ij} - \frac{c'_1}{2(c_2 + 2td_2)} g_{ij} y^l + \frac{2d_1 d_2 - c_2 d'_1}{2c_2(c_2 + 2td_2)} g_{0i} g_{0j} y^l \\ &- \frac{d_1}{2c_2} (g_{0i} \delta^l_j + g_{0j} \delta^l_i) + \frac{2d_2 f_1 - c_2 f'_1}{2c_2(c_2 + 2td_2)} g_{\bar{0}i} g_{\bar{0}j} y^l \\ &+ \frac{(d_1 - f_1) f_2}{2c_2(c_2 + 2tf_2)} (g_{0i} g_{\bar{0}j} + g_{\bar{0}i} g_{0j}) y^{\bar{l}} + \frac{f_1}{2c_2} (J^l_i g_{\bar{0}j} + g_{\bar{0}i} J^l_j) \,. \end{split}$$

4 The unit tangent sphere bundle T_1M

In this section, we restrict the almost hyper-Hermitian structure $(G, J_{\alpha})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ on the unit tangent sphere bundle T_1M , obtaining an almost contact metric 3-structure $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \widehat{G})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$. We study the geometry of $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \widehat{G})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ and find conditions under which $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \widehat{G})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ is a Sasakian 3-structure.

Let $T_1M = \{y \in TM : g(y,y) = 1\}$ be the unit tangent sphere bundle on M and $\pi_1 : T_1M \to M$ be the canonical projection. Clearly, T_1M is a hypersurface of TM with a local expression

$$g_{ij}(x)y^iy^j = 1$$

in a local coordinates (x^i, y^i) on TM.

Let ∂_i and δ_i be as in Section 2, and define the vector field N on T_1M given by

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2 + d_2}} y^i \partial_i .$$

Clearly, N is a unit normal vector field of T_1M in TM. Using this fact, one can find the tangent vector field Y_i on T_1M given by

$$Y_i = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^T := \partial_i - g_{0i} y^l \partial_l \,, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \,. \tag{32}$$

Then it is easy to see that $y^iY_i = 0$. So, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n are not linearly independent. But we can verify that these n vectors together with $\{\delta_i\}$ span $T_y(T_1M)$ at each point $y \in T_1M$. Denote by \widehat{G} the induced metric on T_1M from (TM, G). Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{G}(\delta_i, \delta_j) = c_1 g_{ij} + d_1 g_{0i} g_{0j} + f_1 g_{\bar{0}i} g_{\bar{0}j} ,\\ \widehat{G}(Y_i, Y_j) = c_2 (g_{ij} - g_{0i} g_{0j}) + f_2 g_{\bar{0}i} g_{\bar{0}j} ,\\ \widehat{G}(\delta_i, Y_j) = 0 , \end{cases}$$

where $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2, f_1, f_2$ are constants because $t = \frac{1}{2}g(y, y) \equiv \frac{1}{2}$ on T_1M .

Using the almost hyper-Hermitian structure $(G, \tilde{J}_{\alpha})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ on TM, we can naturally construct an almost contact metric 3-structure $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \hat{G})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ on T_1M as follows.

First we put

$$\xi_{\alpha} = -J_{\alpha}N$$
.

Then ξ_{α} is a unit tangent vector field on T_1M . Next we define a one-form η_{α} and a (1,1) tensor field φ_{α} on T_1M by

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(V) = \tan(J_{\alpha}V), \quad \eta_{\alpha}(V)N = \operatorname{nor}(J_{\alpha}V), \quad \forall V \in TT_{1}M,$$

where tan: $TTM \to TT_1M$, nor: $TTM \to T^{\perp}T_1M$ are the usual projection operators. Here $T^{\perp}T_1M$ denotes the normal bundle of T_1M in TM.

By the above identities, one easily verifies that the following relations hold.

$$\varphi_{\alpha}^{2} = -\mathrm{id} + \eta_{\alpha} \otimes \xi_{\alpha} , \quad \varphi_{\alpha}(\xi_{\alpha}) = 0 , \quad \eta_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\alpha} = 0 , \quad \eta_{\alpha}(\xi_{\alpha}) = 1 ,$$

$$\eta_{\alpha}(V) = \widehat{G}(V, \xi_{\alpha}) , \quad \widehat{G}(\varphi_{\alpha}V, \varphi_{\alpha}W) = \widehat{G}(V, W) - \eta_{\alpha}(V)\eta_{\alpha}(W) ,$$

for all vector fields V, W on T_1M . This shows that $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \widehat{G})$ is an almost contact metric structure. Moreover,

$$\varphi_{\gamma} = \varphi_{\alpha}\varphi_{\beta} - \eta_{\beta} \otimes \xi_{\alpha} = -\varphi_{\beta}\varphi_{\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha} \otimes \xi_{\beta} , \qquad \eta_{\alpha}(\xi_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} ,$$

$$\xi_{\gamma} = \varphi_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta} = -\varphi_{\beta}\xi_{\alpha} , \qquad \eta_{\gamma} = \eta_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta} = -\eta_{\beta} \circ \varphi_{\alpha} ,$$
(33)

where (α, β, γ) is a cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3). Thus we have proved the following result.

Proposition 6. $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \widehat{G})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ is an almost contact metric 3-structure on T_1M .

The almost contact metric 3-structure $(T_1M, \varphi_\alpha, \xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha, \widehat{G})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ can be locally expressed as

$$\xi_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1} + d_{1}}} y^{k} \delta_{k} , \qquad \eta_{1}(\delta_{i}) = \sqrt{c_{1} + d_{1}} g_{0i} , \qquad \eta_{1}(Y_{i}) = 0 ,$$

$$\varphi_{1}(\delta_{i}) = (a_{1} \delta_{i}^{k} + e_{1} g_{\bar{0}i} y^{\bar{k}}) Y_{k} , \qquad \varphi_{1}(Y_{i}) = -[a_{2} (\delta_{i}^{k} - g_{0i} y^{k}) + e_{2} g_{\bar{0}i} y^{\bar{k}}] \delta_{k} ,$$

$$\xi_{2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{1} + d_{1}}} y^{\bar{k}} \delta_{k} , \qquad \eta_{2}(\delta_{i}) = \sqrt{c_{1} + d_{1}} g_{0\bar{i}} , \qquad \eta_{2}(Y_{i}) = 0 ,$$

$$\varphi_{2}(\delta_{i}) = (a_{1} J_{i}^{k} + e_{1} g_{0i} y^{\bar{k}}) Y_{k} , \qquad \varphi_{2}(Y_{i}) = [a_{2} (J_{i}^{k} - g_{0i} y^{\bar{k}}) + e_{2} g_{0\bar{i}} y^{k}] \delta_{k} ,$$

$$\xi_{3} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{2} + f_{2}}} y^{\bar{k}} Y_{k} , \qquad \eta_{3}(\delta_{i}) = 0 , \qquad \eta_{3}(Y_{i}) = \sqrt{c_{2} + f_{2}} g_{0\bar{i}} ,$$

$$\varphi_{3}(\delta_{i}) = -J_{i}^{k} \delta_{k} , \qquad \varphi_{3}(Y_{i}) = (J_{i}^{k} - g_{0i} y^{\bar{k}}) Y_{k} .$$

Furthermore, we find from (32) and (5) that

$$[Y_i,Y_j] = -g_{0j}Y_i + g_{0i}Y_j \,, \qquad [\delta_i,Y_j] = \Gamma^k_{ij}Y_k \,, \qquad [\delta_i,\delta_j] = -R^k_{0ij}Y_k \,.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} d\eta_1(\delta_i,\delta_j) &= 0\,, \quad d\eta_1(Y_i,Y_j) = 0\,, \quad d\eta_1(\delta_i,Y_j) = -\sqrt{c_1+d_1}\big(g_{ij}-g_{0i}g_{0j}\big)\,, \\ \widehat{G}(\delta_i,\varphi_1Y_j) &= -\lambda\big(g_{ij}-g_{0i}g_{0j}\big) - \nu g_{\bar{0}i}g_{\bar{0}j}\,, \quad \widehat{G}(\delta_i,\varphi_1\delta_j) = \widehat{G}(Y_i,\varphi_1Y_j) = 0\,, \\ d\eta_2(\delta_i,\delta_j) &= -\sqrt{c_1+d_1}\bigg\{F\bigg(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},y,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\bigg) - F\bigg(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j},y,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\bigg)\bigg\}\,, \\ d\eta_2(\delta_i,Y_j) &= \sqrt{c_1+d_1}\big(g_{i\bar{j}}-g_{0j}g_{\bar{0}i}\big)\,, \quad d\eta_2(Y_i,Y_j) = 0\,, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{G}(\delta_{i},\varphi_{2}Y_{j}) &= \lambda(g_{i\bar{j}} - g_{\bar{0}i}g_{0j}) + \nu g_{0i}g_{0\bar{j}} \,, \quad \widehat{G}(\delta_{i},\varphi_{2}\delta_{j}) = \widehat{G}(Y_{i},\varphi_{2}Y_{j}) = 0 \,, \\ d\eta_{3}(\delta_{i},\delta_{j}) &= \sqrt{c_{2} + f_{2}}R_{0ij}^{k}g_{\bar{k}0} \,, \quad d\eta_{3}(\delta_{i},Y_{j}) = \sqrt{c_{2} + f_{2}}F\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}},\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}},y\right) \,, \\ d\eta_{3}(Y_{i},Y_{j}) &= 2\sqrt{c_{2} + f_{2}}(g_{i\bar{j}} - g_{i0}g_{\bar{j}0} + g_{j0}g_{\bar{i}0}) \,, \quad \widehat{G}(\delta_{i},\varphi_{3}Y_{j}) = 0 \,, \\ \widehat{G}(\delta_{i},\varphi_{3}\delta_{j}) &= c_{1}g_{\bar{i}j} + d_{1}(g_{i0}g_{\bar{0}j} - g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j\bar{0}}) \,, \\ \widehat{G}(Y_{i},\varphi_{3}Y_{j}) &= c_{2}(g_{i\bar{j}} - g_{i0}g_{\bar{j}0} - g_{0j}g_{i\bar{0}}) \,, \end{split}$$

where $F(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, y) = g((\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} J) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, y)$.

In order to get a contact metric 3-structure on T_1M , i.e.

$$\widehat{G}(V, \varphi_{\alpha}W) = \frac{1}{2}d\eta_{\alpha}(V, W), \quad \forall V, W \in \mathscr{X}(T_{1}M),$$

we have to modify the almost contact metric 3-structure in the following way (see e.g. [2]):

$$\varphi_{\alpha}^{\text{new}} = \varphi_{\alpha} \,, \quad \xi_{\alpha}^{\text{new}} = \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{c_1 + d_1}} \xi_{\alpha} \,, \quad \eta_{\alpha}^{\text{new}} = \frac{\sqrt{c_1 + d_1}}{2\lambda} \eta_{\alpha} \,, \quad \widehat{G}^{\text{new}} = \frac{c_1 + d_1}{4\lambda^2} \widehat{G} \,.$$

In the next discussion, we still denote by $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \widehat{G})$ the new almost contact metric 3-structure, then we obtain immediately

Proposition 7. $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \widehat{G})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ is a contact metric 3-structure on T_1M if and only if (M, g, J) is a Kähler manifold and

$$\nu = 0$$
, $a_1 = e_1$, $R_{0\bar{0}ij} = 2a_1^2(g_{i\bar{j}} + g_{i\bar{0}}g_{j0} - g_{j\bar{0}}g_{i0})$. (34)

Definition 3. A contact metric 3-structure $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \widehat{G})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ is called a Sasakian 3-structure if each ξ_{α} is a Killing vector field and

$$(\widehat{\nabla}_V \varphi_\alpha) W = \widehat{G}(V, W) \xi_\alpha - \eta_\alpha(W) V,$$

for all vector fields V, W.

By Proposition 5, we can obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 8. Let (M, g, J) be a Kähler manifold and denote by $\widehat{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection of (T_1M, \widehat{G}) , then

$$\widehat{\nabla}_{\delta_i} \delta_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k \delta_k - \left(\frac{d_1}{2c_2} \left(\delta_i^k g_{0j} + \delta_j^k g_{0i} \right) + \frac{1}{2} R_{0ij}^k + \frac{f_1}{2c_2} (g_{0\bar{j}} J_i^k + g_{0\bar{i}} J_j^k) \right) Y_k ,$$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\nabla}_{\delta_{i}}Y_{j} &= \Gamma_{ij}^{k}Y_{k} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{1}}{c_{1} + d_{1}} g_{ij}y^{k} + \frac{d_{1}}{c_{1}} g_{0i}\delta_{j}^{k} + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} R_{i0j}^{k} - \frac{d_{1}(2c_{1} + d_{1})}{c_{1}(c_{1} + d_{1})} g_{0i}g_{0j}y^{k} \right. \\ &- \frac{c_{2}d_{1}}{c_{1}(c_{1} + d_{1})} R_{0ji0}y^{k} + \frac{d_{1}f_{1}}{c_{1}(c_{1} + d_{1})} g_{0i}g_{0j}y^{k} + \frac{f_{1}}{c_{1} + f_{1}} g_{i\bar{j}}y^{\bar{k}} \\ &- \frac{d_{1}f_{1}}{c_{1}(c_{1} + f_{1})} g_{0i}g_{0j}y^{\bar{k}} + \frac{f_{1}}{c_{1}} g_{\bar{0}i}J_{j}^{k} + \frac{f_{2}}{c_{1}} R_{i0\bar{0}}^{k}g_{\bar{0}j} \\ &+ \frac{d_{1}f_{2}}{c_{1}(c_{1} + d_{1})} R_{0\bar{0}0i}g_{\bar{0}j}y^{k} - \frac{f_{1}(2c_{1} + f_{1})}{c_{1}(c_{1} + f_{1})} g_{\bar{0}i}g_{0j}y^{\bar{k}} \\ &+ \frac{c_{2}f_{1}}{c_{1}(c_{1} + f_{1})} R_{0j\bar{0}i}y^{\bar{k}} + \frac{f_{1}f_{2}}{c_{1}(c_{1} + f_{1})} R_{0\bar{0}\bar{0}i}g_{\bar{0}j}y^{\bar{k}} \right) \delta_{k} \,, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{Y_{i}}Y_{j} &= -g_{0j}Y_{i} - \frac{f_{2}}{c_{2}} \left(g_{0\bar{j}}J_{i}^{k} + g_{0\bar{i}}J_{j}^{k} - g_{0\bar{j}}g_{0i}y^{\bar{k}} - g_{0j}g_{0\bar{i}}y^{\bar{k}} \right) Y_{k} \,, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{Y_{i}}\delta_{j} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_{1}}{c_{1} + d_{1}} g_{ij}y^{k} - \frac{d_{1}(2c_{1} + d_{1})}{c_{1}(c_{1} + d_{1})} g_{0i}g_{0j}y^{k} - \frac{c_{2}d_{1}}{c_{1}(c_{1} + d_{1})} R_{0ij0}y^{k} \right. \\ &+ \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} R_{j0i}^{k} + \frac{d_{1}}{c_{1}} g_{0j}\delta_{i}^{k} + \frac{d_{1}f_{1}}{c_{1}(c_{1} + d_{1})} g_{\bar{0}i}g_{\bar{0}j}y^{k} + \frac{f_{1}}{c_{1}} g_{\bar{i}j}y^{\bar{k}} \\ &- \frac{d_{1}f_{1}}{c_{1}(c_{1} + d_{1})} g_{\bar{0}i}g_{0j}y^{\bar{k}} + \frac{f_{1}}{c_{1}} g_{\bar{0}j}J_{i}^{k} + \frac{f_{2}}{c_{1}} R_{j0\bar{0}\bar{0}j}g_{\bar{0}i} + \frac{d_{1}f_{2}}{c_{1}(c_{1} + d_{1})} R_{0\bar{0}\bar{0}j}g_{\bar{0}i}y^{\bar{k}} \right) \delta_{k}. \end{split}$$

Remark 5. If the base manifold (M, g, J) is a complex space form of holomorphic sectional curvature 4c > 0 and the parameters a_1, e_1, ν satisfy

$$a_1^2 = c, a_1 = e_1, \nu = 0,$$
 (35)

then (34) holds. In this case, $(\varphi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \widehat{G})_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ is a contact metric 3-structure on T_1M . From (35) and (8), it follows that

$$c_1 = d_1 = f_1 = \lambda a_1$$
, $f_2 = \lambda e_2$, $e_2 = -\frac{1}{2a_1}$.

By Proposition 8 and Remark 5, we have the following

Proposition 9. Let (M, g, J) be a complex space form of positive holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. If the parameters a_1, e_1, ν satisfy (35), then the Levi-Civita connection of the metric \hat{G} is determined by the following equations.

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\nabla}_{\delta_{i}}\delta_{j} &= \Gamma_{ij}^{k}\delta_{k} + c\left(-g_{0j}\delta_{i}^{k} + g_{\bar{0}j}J_{i}^{k} - g_{i\bar{j}}y^{\bar{k}}\right)Y_{k} \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{\delta_{i}}Y_{j} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{ij}y^{k} - g_{0i}g_{0j}y^{k} + g_{i\bar{j}}y^{\bar{k}} - g_{\bar{0}j}J_{i}^{k} + g_{0i}g_{\bar{0}j}y^{\bar{k}} - g_{\bar{0}i}g_{\bar{0}j}y^{k} - g_{\bar{0}i}g_{0j}y^{\bar{k}}\right)\delta_{k} \\ &+ \Gamma_{ij}^{k}Y_{k} \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{Y_{i}}Y_{j} &= -g_{0j}Y_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{\bar{0}j}J_{i}^{k} + g_{\bar{0}i}J_{j}^{k} - g_{\bar{0}i}g_{0j}y^{\bar{k}} - g_{0i}g_{\bar{0}j}y^{\bar{k}}\right)Y_{k} \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{Y_{i}}\delta_{j} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{ij}y^{k} - g_{0i}g_{0j}y^{k} + g_{\bar{i}j}y^{\bar{k}} - g_{\bar{0}i}J_{j}^{k} + g_{\bar{0}i}g_{0j}y^{\bar{k}} - g_{\bar{0}i}g_{\bar{0}j}y^{k} - g_{0i}g_{\bar{0}j}y^{\bar{k}}\right)\delta_{k} \end{split}$$

Remark 6. Under the assumption of Proposition 9, a direct calculation shows that the following relations hold:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{G}(\widehat{\nabla}_{V}\xi_{\alpha},W) &= -\widehat{G}(\widehat{\nabla}_{W}\xi_{\alpha},V) \,, \\ (\widehat{\nabla}_{V}\varphi_{\alpha})W &= \widehat{G}(V,W)\xi_{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha}(W)V \,, \end{split}$$

for each α and all vector fields V, W on T_1M . Therefore, we have proved the following

Theorem 2. There exists a class of Sasakian 3-structures on the unit tangent sphere bundle of a complex space form of positive holomorphic sectional curvature.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor Qing-Ming Cheng for many valuable discussions. Besides, the authors want to thank the referee for his/her helpful comments on the paper.

This work is supported by grants Nos. U1304101 and 11171091 of NSFC and NSF of Henan Povince (No. 132300410141).

References

- M. Anastasiei: Locally conformal Kähler structures on tangent manifold of a space form. Libertas Math. 19 (1999) 71–76.
- [2] D.E. Blair: Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds. Progr. Math. Birkhäuser, Boston (2002).
- [3] S.A. Bogdanovich, A.A. Ermolitski: On almost hyperHermitian structures on Riemannian manifolds and tangent bundles. Cent. Eur. J. Math. 2 (5) (2004) 615–623.
- [4] E. Calabi: Métriques kähleriennes et fibrés holomophes. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 12 (1979) 269–294.
- [5] J. Cheeger, D. Gromoll: On the structure of complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature. Ann. Math. 96 (1972) 413-443.
- [6] P. Dombrowski: On the geometry of the tangent bundle. J. Reine Angew. Math. 210 (1962) 73–88.
- [7] O. Kowalski: Curvature of the induced Riemannian metric on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold. J. Reine Angew. Math. 250 (1971) 124–129.
- [8] X.X. Li, X.R. Qi: A note on some metrics on tangent bundles and unit tangent sphere bundles. J. Math. Res. Exposition 28 (4) (2008) 829–838.
- [9] M.I. Munteanu: Some aspects on the geometry of the tangent bundles and tangent sphere bundles of a Riemannian manifold. *Mediterr. J. Math.* 5 (2008) 43–59.
- [10] E. Musso, F. Tricerri: Riemannian metrics on tangent bundles. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 150 (4) (1988) 1–19.
- [11] T. Nagano: Isometries on complex-product spaces. Tensor 9 (1959) 47-61.
- [12] V. Oproiu: A Kähler Einstein structure on the tangent bundle of a space form. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 25 (2001) 183–195.

- [13] V. Oproiu: Hyper-Kähler structures on the tangent bundle of a Kähler manifold. Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 15 (1) (2010) 104–119.
- [14] V. Oproiu, N. Papaghiuc: General natural Einstein Kähler structures on tangent bundles. Differential Geom. Appl. 27 (2009) 384–392.
- [15] V. Oproiu, D.D. Poroșniuc: A class of Kähler Einstein structures on the cotangent bundle. Publ. Math. Debrecen 66 (3-4) (2005) 457-478.
- [16] L. Ornea, P. Piccinni: Locally conformal Kähler structures in quaternionic geometry. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (2) (1997) 641–655.
- [17] D.D. Poroșniuc: A class of locally symmetric Kähler Einstein structures on the nonzero cotangent bundle of a space form. Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 9 (2) (2004) 68–81.
- [18] S. Sasaki: On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds. Tôhoku Math. J. 10 (1958) 338–354.
- [19] S. Tachibana, M. Okumura: On the almost-complex structure of tangent bundles of Riemannian spaces. *Tôhoku Math. J.* 14 (2) (1962) 156–161.
- [20] M. Tahara, L. Vanhecke, Y. Watanabe: New structures on tangent bundles. Note Mat. 18 (1) (1998) 131–141.
- [21] I. Vaisman: On locally conformal almost Kähler manifolds. Israel J. Math. 24 (1976) 338–351.
- [22] B.V. Zayatuev: On a class of almost-Hermitian structures on tangent bundles. Math. Notes 76 (5) (2004) 682–688.

Authors' addresses:

Xuerong Qi: Department of Mathematics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, P. R. China

E-mail: xrqi@zzu.edu.cn

LINFEN CAO, XINGXIAO LI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HENAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XINXIANG 453007, P. R. CHINA

E-mail: caolf2010(a)yahoo.com, xxl(a)henannu.edu.cn

Received: 7 November, 2013

Accepted for publication: 31 January, 2014

Communicated by: Haizhong Li